

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/003,040	GOOD ET AL.
	Examiner Kristie Shingles	Art Unit 2141

All Participants:

Status of Application: ABANDONED

(1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Thomas Sheyer, Atty..

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 12 March 2007

Time: 2:45pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**


Atty confirmed the non-responsive status of the application and the intent to abandon.

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**JASON CARDONE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)